

1 RACHEL B. ABRAMS (Cal Bar No. 209316)  
 2 ADAM B. WOLF (Cal Bar No. 215914)  
 3 **Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP**  
 4 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 6 Telephone: 415.766.3544  
 7 Facsimile: 415.840.9435  
 8 Email: rabrams@peifferwolf.com  
 9 Email: awolf@peifferwolf.com

10 TIFFANY R. ELLIS (*Admitted PHV*)  
 11 **Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP**  
 12 2229 Trumbull St.  
 13 Detroit, MI 48216  
 14 Telephone: 313.210.1559  
 15 Facsimile: 415.840.9435  
 16 Email: tellis@peifferwolf.com

17 *Counsel for Plaintiff*

18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

1  
 2  
 3  
 4  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
 LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

**DECLARATION OF RACHEL B.  
 ABRAMS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO  
 WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR  
 PLAINTIFF CODY JONES.**

This Document Relates to:

*Cody Jones v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al;*  
 3:24-cv-05822 -CRB

I, Rachel B. Abrams, declare:

1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway and Wise, LLP. I am admitted to practice before this Court. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the truth of the matters stated herein:
2. Plaintiff Cody Jones has not responded to any efforts to reach him made by myself or my staff since May 14, 2025. Additionally, Plaintiff Cody Jones has been unable to provide Peiffer Wolf with adequate information to fulfill his discovery obligations.
3. Plaintiff Cody Jones's failure to communicate with and provide sufficient information to

1 our firm has presented a circumstance covered by Rule 1.16(b)(4) of the California  
2 Rules of Professional Conduct. We are unable to meet discovery deadlines and  
3 otherwise prosecute his case without his input. It is my opinion that our withdrawal from  
4 the case has become necessary.

5 4. Under the California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(d), my firm has taken all  
6 reasonably available steps to avoid prejudice to the rights of Plaintiff Cody Jones  
7 ("Plaintiff").

8 5. Plaintiff has failed to properly communicate with our office throughout his  
9 representation.

10 a. On December 12, 2023, Plaintiff retained Peiffer Wolf as legal counsel.

11 b. Between December 12, 2023 and October 23, 2025, Plaintiff received numerous  
12 emails, text messages, telephone calls, and voice messages requesting that he  
13 contact our office. These communications included thirty-eight (38) unsuccessful  
14 phone calls with no ability to leave voice messages, , six (6) unsuccessful phone  
15 calls with voice messages, fifteen (15) unanswered text messages, and twenty  
16 (20) unanswered e-mails.

17 c. During the aforementioned time period, our office and staff was in the process of  
18 collecting further information from Plaintiff about his claim.

19 d. Plaintiff contacted or responded to contact attempts by our office on nine (9)  
20 occasions during that time period.

21 e. On August 22, 2024, as Plaintiff's case was filed in the MDL, our office  
22 attempted to contact Plaintiff to inform him of his discovery obligations and  
23 Plaintiff Fact Sheet requirements.

24 f. Peiffer Wolf submitted Plaintiff's Uber Ride Information Form and Plaintiff Fact  
25 Sheet with the information previously provided by Plaintiff.

26 g. On July 17, 2025, Uber's counsel informed our firm of an alleged deficiency in  
27 Plaintiff's ride receipt or ride information form.

28 h. Between July 17, 2025 through the present, these communications to Plaintiff

1 included two (2) unsuccessful phone calls with no ability to leave voice  
2 messages, two (2) unsuccessful phone calls with voice messages, three (3) e-  
3 mails, three (3) text messages, and one (1) letter sent by mail. In these  
4 communications, we repeatedly explained the need for his continued  
5 participation in the case, the risk that his case may be dismissed, and that we  
6 would need to withdraw as counsel if he did not contact our office.

7 i. Between July 17, 2025 through the present, Plaintiff has not responded to any  
8 contact attempts.

9 j. On October 23, 2025, we sent Plaintiff a letter by U.S. certified mail explaining  
10 that if he did not contact our firm by October 28, 2025, we would have no choice  
11 but to withdraw as counsel.

12 6. Plaintiff has failed to provide our firm with all the information necessary to fulfill his  
13 discovery obligations.

14 7. On November 14, 2025, we sent Uber advance notice of our intent to withdraw from  
15 Plaintiff's representation.

16 8. To date, Plaintiff has not agreed to voluntarily dismiss his claims and has not informed  
17 our firm that alternative counsel has been retained.

18 9. Our withdrawal from this case will not impact the timing or schedule of this litigation,  
19 and we have taken all reasonable steps possible to avoid prejudice to Plaintiff by  
20 informing him of his options and the consequences of failing to comply with case  
21 deadlines.

22 10. I understand that pursuant to Local Rule 11-5(b), leave to withdraw may be conditioned  
23 on our firm continuing to accept papers to forward to the client. We are able to accept  
24 this responsibility.

25 Executed this 17th day of November 2025 in San Francisco, California.

26 */s/ Rachel B. Abrams*  
27 Rachel B. Abrams  
28

1 *Counsel for Plaintiff*  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28